IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.6022 of 2006

DATE OF DECISION: 15.02.2007

Mohanjit Singh Sekhon ...Petitioner
.

Versus

Baldev Singh

...Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL.

PRESENT: Sh.J.S.Cooner, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Sh.Arun Palli, Advocate,
for the respondent.

***

VINEY MITTAL, J.(ORAL)

Landlord is the petitioner before this Court. He is aggrieved against the order dated September 02, 2006 passed by the Rent Controller whereby in a petition filed under Section 13-B of the East Punjab Rent Restriction Act, leave to defend has been granted to the defendant-respondent.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration observations made by the Rent Controller, I find that leave to defend has rightly been granted by the Rent Controller. Various pleas raised by the tenant-respondent are required to be finally determined by the Rent Controller. However, the learned Rent Controller shall try to expedite the proceedings in the rent petition.

Dismissed.

February 15, 2007 (VINEY MITTAL)
seema JUDGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.6023 of 2006

DATE OF DECISION: 15.02.2007

Mohanjit Singh Sekhon ...Petitioner
.

Versus

Jindal Cloth House, through its Proprietor and another

...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL.

PRESENT: Sh.J.S.Cooner, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Sh.Arun Palli, Advocate,
for the respondents.

***

VINEY MITTAL, J.(ORAL)

Landlord is the petitioner before this Court. He is aggrieved against the order dated September 02, 2006 passed by the Rent Controller whereby in a petition filed under Section 13-B of the East Punjab Rent Restriction Act, leave to defend has been granted to the defendant-respondents.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration observations made by the Rent Controller, I find that leave to defend has rightly been granted by the Rent Controller. Various pleas raised by the tenant-respondents are required to be finally determined by the Rent Controller. However, the learned Rent Controller shall try to expedite the proceedings in the rent petition.

Dismissed.

February 15, 2007 (VINEY MITTAL)
seema JUDGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.6024 of 2006

DATE OF DECISION: 15.02.2007

Mohanjit Singh Sekhon ...Petitioner
.

Versus

M/s Ram Karan Dass Rajinder Paul and another

...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL.

PRESENT: Sh.J.S.Cooner, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Sh.Arun Palli, Advocate,
for the respondents.

***

VINEY MITTAL, J.(ORAL)

Landlord is the petitioner before this Court. He is aggrieved against the order dated September 02, 2006 passed by the Rent Controller whereby in a petition filed under Section 13-B of the East Punjab Rent Restriction Act, leave to defend has been granted to the defendant-respondents.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration observations made by the Rent Controller, I find that leave to defend has rightly been granted by the Rent Controller. Various pleas raised by the tenant-respondents are required to be finally determined by the Rent Controller. However, the learned Rent Controller shall try to expedite the proceedings in the rent petition.

Dismissed.

February 15, 2007 (VINEY MITTAL)
seema JUDGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.6025 of 2006

DATE OF DECISION: 15.02.2007

Mohanjit Singh Sekhon ...Petitioner
.

Versus

M/s Jodha Ram Ram Kishan and another

...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL.

PRESENT: Sh.J.S.Cooner, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Sh.Arun Palli, Advocate,
for the respondents.

***

VINEY MITTAL, J.(ORAL)

Landlord is the petitioner before this Court. He is aggrieved against the order dated September 02, 2006 passed by the Rent Controller whereby in a petition filed under Section 13-B of the East Punjab Rent Restriction Act, leave to defend has been granted to the defendant-respondents.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration observations made by the Rent Controller, I find that leave to defend has rightly been granted by the Rent Controller. Various pleas raised by the tenant-respondents are required to be finally determined by the Rent Controller. However, the learned Rent Controller shall try to expedite the proceedings in the rent petition.

Dismissed.

February 15, 2007 (VINEY MITTAL)
seema JUDGE